Author’s response to reviews

Title: Study protocol: effect of low-level laser therapy on pain, quality of life and sleep in patients with fibromyalgia: double-blinded randomized controlled trial

Authors:

Paulo de Tarso C de Carvalho (ptpaulo@terra.com.br)
Ernesto P Leal Junior (ernesto.leal.junior@gmail.com)
Ana Carolina A Alves (carolararuna@gmail.com)
Caroline S Rambo (carolrambo.fisio@gmail.com)
Luciana Maria M Sampaio (lucianamalosa@uninove.br)
Claudia S Oliveira (csantos@uninove.br)
Regiane Albertini (ralbertini@uninove.br)
Luis Vicente F. Oliveira (oliveira.lvf@uninove.br)

Version: 5 Date: 10 October 2012

Author’s response to reviews: see over
Dear Editor,

We appreciate your remarks about our manuscript. Your suggestions helped us to improve the scientific quality of our paper. We would like to highlight that all suggestions were addressed in current version of manuscript.

Below we present a point-to-point answer for each comment. The changes in manuscript text are highlighted in yellow.

We hope that the current revised version of our manuscript is now suitable to be published in this respectable journal.

We look forward hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

The authors.

**Editor’s comments:**

1) Further consideration of your manuscript is conditional on improvement of the English used. Please ensure particular attention is paid to the abstract. You should have a native English speaking colleague help you with this, if possible, or use a commercial copyediting service. Examples are those provided by the Manuscript Presentation Service (www.biomedes.co.uk<http://www.biomedes.co.uk>), International Science Editing (http://www.internationalscienceediting.com/) and English Manager Science Editing (http://www.sciencemanager.com/). BioMed Central has no first-hand experience of these companies and can take no responsibility for the quality of their service. **Answer:** We performed the revision regarding English language of our manuscript with special attention to abstract section as requested by editor.

2) Please include a section explaining your trial status. This should follow the Discussion section. The status of the trial at the time of manuscript submission. The journal considers study protocol articles for proposed or ongoing trials provided they have not completed patient recruitment at the time of submission. **Answer:** We performed this change as requested by reviewer.

3) Please remove the conclusion section from the manuscript, this is not needed in a protocol article. **Answer:** We removed the conclusion section as requested by reviewer.